

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**  
**AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.102**

**LPA No.493 of 2018 (O&M) in**  
**CWP No.23192 of 2015**  
**Date of decision: 31.05.2018**

Sham Lal

....Appellant

versus

Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation  
Limited and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

\* \* \*

Present: Mr. Inder Jit Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellant.

\* \* \*

**RAJESH BINDAL, J.**

Order dated 17.11.2016 passed by the learned Single had been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal vide which the appellant's writ petition was dismissed alongwith other 16 petitions of similarly situated employees. The appeal is accompanied by an application for condonation of delay of 368 days in filing thereof.

The delay in filing of the appeal is not liable to be condoned as the only ground given for the delay is that the applicant/appellant was being represented by a union leader who did not inform the appellant about the

decision of the case. In fact, it is very much clear from the order passed by the learned Single Judge that the appellant was duly represented by a counsel. As such the plea of the appellant that he was being represented by a union leader, is not a valid ground on which the delay of 368 days in filing of the appeal can be condoned.

Even on merits, learned counsel for the appellant fairly submitted that LPA No.126 of 2018 – Banwari Lal vs. Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation Limited and others. alongwith other six appeals, arising out of the impugned judgment have already been dismissed on 02.04.2018.

In view of the above, application for condonation of delay as well as the main appeal are dismissed.

**(Rajesh Bindal)**  
**Judge**

**(Deepak Sibal)**  
**Judge**

**May 31, 2018**  
*Jyoti 1*

Whether speaking/reasoned                      Yes

Whether reportable                                  Yes/No