IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT **CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.128

CRM-M-8416-2018

Date of decision: 31.10.2018

Paramvir Bhalla

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:

None for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This case has been pending since 27.02.2018. On that date,

the case was adjourned to 25.4.2018, on the request of counsel for the

petitioner. On 25.4.2018, the matter was again adjourned at the request of

counsel as he wanted to cite the appropriate law. On the adjourned date

i.e. 24.7.2018, time was sought to argue the case and thus, the matter was

adjourned to 20.09.2018 on which date, an adjournment was sought on

the ground that the mother of the arguing counsel is not well. In the

interest of justice, the matter was adjourned to today and it was made

clear that no adjournment shall be granted.

Today, the case has been called twice. At the first call, a

request for adjournment was made. Counsel appearing for arguing

counsel for the petitioner was informed that in view of the order dated

20.09.2018, no further adjournment shall be granted and the case was

Ramandeep Singh 2018.11.03 09:33 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this document

passed over so that the arguing counsel could appear and argue the case.

However, on second call, the petitioner is not represented.

Thus, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(SUDHIR MITTAL) JUDGE

31.10.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes/ No