IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

FRIDAY , THE 30TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2018 / 9TH AGRAHAYANA, 1940

WP(C).No. 38144 of 2018

PETITIONER/S:

MUNEERUDHEEN

AGED 52 YEARS

S/O.MUHAMMED KUNHI, PALLIPATH HOUSE, IRIKKUR.P.O., IRIKKUR AMSOM, TALIPARAMBA TALUK, KANNUR DISTRICT-670 593.

BY ADVS.

SRI.V.T.MADHAVANUNNI

SRI.V.A.SATHEESH

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, VYDYTH
 BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.
- 2 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICAL DIVISION
 OFFICE, IRITTY P.O, KANNUR DISTRICT-670 703
- 3 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, ELECTRICAL SUB
 DIVISION OFFICE, IRIKKUR, P.O. IRIKKUR, KANNUR
 DISTRICT-670 593
- 4 C. MAMU HAJI
 S/O. ERAMULLAN, AGED 67 YEARS, SAFA, NEAR CANARA
 BANK, PATTUVAM, IRIKKUR P.O, IRIKKUR AMSOM, KANNUR
 DISTRICT-670 593

BY ADV.

SRI.SUDHEER GANESH KUMAR-SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30.11.2018, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

The petitioner makes a very curious prayer in this writ petition. He says that the office belonging to the Kerala State Electricity Board Ltd (KSEB for short), now functioning in the 4th respondent's building, be not allowed to be shifted. Various reasons are stated in this writ petition for making this prayer, however, pertinently, there is nothing to show that the 4th respondent has authorised the petitioner to file this writ petition or that he wants the office of the KSEB to continue in his building.

2. Further, the learned Standing Counsel for the KSEB submits that the shifting of the office has been done so as to obtain a better building and that the present building, owned by the 4th respondent, is not suitable for their office, since the building does not have proper facilities.

In the afore circumstances, I see no reason

to maintain a writ petition of this nature and therefore, I dismiss it without passing any further orders.

SD/-

DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

JUDGE

4

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1

TRUE COPY OF THE INDENTURE EXECUTED
BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT NO.2 AND 4
DATED 10.8.2018

EXHIBIT P2

TRUE COPY OF THE NEWS PUBLISHED IN
MALAYALA MANORAMA DATED 26.9.2018

EXHIBIT P3

TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE PUBLISHED BY
THE MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION, IRIKKUR
DATED 25.9.2018

EXHIBIT P4

TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST GIVEN BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT TO THE RESPONDENT NO.4

DATED 5.10.2018.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

RR