### IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

#### PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.V.ASHA

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2018 / 11TH MAGHA, 1939

Con.Case(C).No. 2053 of 2017

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 13674/2017 of THIS HON'BLE COURT.

-----

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER IN WP(C)

SAJI BASHEER,
AGED 45, S/O.BASHEER, TC 10/747, SULTHANA,
MANNANMOOLA, PEROORKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695005.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.T.PRADEEP SMT.M.BINDUDAS

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS 1 & 2 IN THE W.P.(C):

1.DR.K.M.ABRAHAM,

(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER), CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

2. PAUL ANTONY,

(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER), ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY, INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BEJOY CHANDRAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 31-01-2018, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

### APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE I:- CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 9.8.2017 IN WPC.NO.13674/2017.

ANNEXURE II:- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 11.10.2017 IN I.A.NO.15848/2017 IN WPC.NO.13674/2017.

ANNEXURE III:- TRUE COPY OF THE RECOMMENDATION OF LAW DEPARTMENT WHICH WAS REVEALED UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT AS PER COMMUNICATION DATED 20.10.2017.

ANNEXURE IV: - TRUE COPY OF THE G.O(RT)NO.1638/2017/ID DATED 29.11.2017.

ANNEXURE V:- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 16.3.2011 FROM KERALA STATE AUDIO VISUAL AND REPROGRAPHIC CENTRE.

RESPONDENT(S) ' ANNEXURES - NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PS TO JUDGE

# P.V.ASHA, J.

-----

Cont.Case No.2053 of 2017-S in W.P(C) No.13674 of 2017

-----

Dated this the 31st day of January, 2018

## **JUDGMENT**

The Contempt case was filed at a time when orders were not passed pursuant to the directions contained in the judgment dated 9.8.2017 in W.P(c).No.13674 of 2017. The petitioner was thereafter given appointment as Managing Director, KELPAM, though subsequently he is removed from that post also.

Therefore, the Contempt Case is closed. The petitioner would be free to challenge any action adverse to him in appropriate proceedings.

Sd/-

(P.V.ASHA, JUDGE)

rtr/