

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 3050 of 2018

Decided on: 31.12.2018

Raj Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education ...Respondents
and another

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Kant, Chief Justice.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate.

Surya Kant, Chief Justice. *(Oral)*

The petitioner appeared in the Teachers Eligibility Test (TET) of Arts conducted by the Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education, Dharmshala on 8th September, 2018. The result has been declared and according to the petitioner, she had answered questions No.

¹ *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2, 8, 56, 72 and 145 correctly but due to anomaly in the answer key in Hindi vis-a-vis English medium, her answers have not been correctly evaluated, depriving her the due marks.

2. It goes without saying that such like issues are to be examined by an Expert Committee which may have been constituted by the Board to consider the representations, if any, received from the candidates against the answer key.

3. The petitioner is stated to have already represented the Board. In any case, we grant one more opportunity to the petitioner to represent to the Board alongwith supporting material with reference to questions No. 2, 8, 56, 72 and 145 and let such representation be placed before the Expert Committee for an appropriate decision. The Expert Committee will take its decision within a period of one month from the date of receipt of such representation.

4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.
Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand
disposed of.

(Surya Kant)
Chief Justice

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

December 31, 2018
(rajni)