IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

Cr. Revision No. 261 of 2017

Decided on: 29.3.2018

Vijay BhardwajPetitioner.

Versus

Amarpreet SinghRespondent.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Reeta Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondent: Mr. Dheeraj Thakur, Advocate.

Sureshwar Thakur, J (oral)

Mr. Dheeraj Thakur, learned counsel for the respondent, has in his statement, echoed, that he has instructions from the respondent/complainant, to testify that the entire amount comprised, in the dishonored Negotiable instrument, stands defrayed to the respondent/complainant, by the petitioner/accused. He has further stated therein that this Court, may pass, an order for composition of offence, constituted by dishonor of Negotiable Instrument Act. Moreover, he also

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

discloses therein that he has instructions, to, reveal to this Court, that the instant revision petition filed by the petitioner be allowed, and, the accused be acquitted of the offences charged.

2. Consequently, the Revision petition is accepted, and, the impugned order/judgment is quashed and set aside. The accused stands acquitted. However, the aforesaid order shall take effect, only upon, the petitioner/accused, within three weeks, depositing 15% of the amount comprised in the dishonored Cheque, before the H.P State Legal Services Authority.

All pending applications stand disposed of accordingly.

29th March, 2018 (priti)

(Sureshwar Thakur), Judge.