IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 1156 of 2018 Decided on: 31 May, 2018

Dr. Ramesh Bharti

.Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and another

....Respondents

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the petitioner : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Senior Advocate with Mr. Anup Rattan,

Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Ashok Sharma, AG with Mr.

> J.K. Verma, Mr. Ranjan Sharma, Mr. Adarsh Sharma, Ms. Ritta Goswami and Mr. Nand Lal Thakur, AAG's, for respondent

No. 1.

Mr. B.C. Negi, Senior Advocate with Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice (oral):

Having heard learned counsel for the parties as also going through the record made available, we are of the considered view that interests of justice would be best met, if a direction is issued to the learned Himachal Pradesh Administrative Tribunal to consider and decide the petitioner's application for grant of interim relief.

2. We do not find impugned order dated 15.5.2018, passed by the learned Tribunal in OA No. 2654 of 2018 titled Dr. Ramesh Bharti vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and another, to have assigned any reasons, in so far as interim direction is concerned. Hence this necessary direction.

 $^{^1}$ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? .

2

3. Learned Advocate General states that response so filed in

the present petition shall also be filed as a response to the

Original Application pending before the learned Tribunal.

Needless to add, parties are at liberty to place any other

additional material on record before the learned Tribunal

during the course of hearing.

4. Liberty is reserved to the parties to invite attention of the

learned Tribunal of passing of this order tomorrow (1.6.2018) at

10.30 AM, when we expect the matter to be taken up as item

No. 1.

5. Registrar (Judicial) is directed to communicate this order

to the learned Tribunal.

6. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

Needless to add, we have not expressed any opinion on merits of

the present petition and all issues are left open.

Authenticated copy.

(Sanjay Karol)
Acting Chief Justice

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

May 31, 2018 (vikrant/BS Rana)