IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 31st DAY OF MAY 2018

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N.SATYANARAYANA

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO.1926 OF 2016

BETWEEN:

CYBER POINT AND TRAVEL POINT REP. BY PROPRIETOR SRI CHANDAR S/O LATE SRI SRINIVAS AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS SHOP NO.7, 1ST FLOOR SHRUNGAR SHOPPING COMPLEX CHURCH STREET, NO.80/1 M.G.ROAD, BENGALURU – 560 001

... APPELLANT

(BY SRI.R.B.SADASIVAPPA, ADV.)

AND:

- 1. MISS CHITRALEKHA THUMBOOCHETTY D/O LATE SRI.F.THUMBOOCHETTY AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS NO.17, PROMENADE ROAD FRAZER TOWN BENGALURU – 560 005
- 2. SRI.JOHN RAVIKANTH THUMBOOCHETTY S/O LATE SRI.F.THUMBOOCHETTY AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS NO.17, PROMENADE ROAD FRAZER TOWN BENGALURU - 560 005
- 3. MRS.MARY GEETHANJALI THUMBOOCHETTY D/O LATE SRI.F.THUMBOOCHETTY AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS NO.13/4, BEALE STREET

LIVEPOOL NEW SOUTH EALES AUSTRALIA

- 4. SRI.BERNARD VIKRAM THUMBOOCHETTY S/O LATE SRI.F.THUMBOOCHETTY AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS NO.16, PROMENADE ROAD FRAZER TOWN BENGALURU - 560 005
- 5. M/s. DNL ENTERPRISES
 NO.79/80, M.G.ROAD
 SHRUNGAR SHOPPING COMPLEX
 BENGALURU 560 001
 REP. BY ITS PARTNER
 SRI.SHANKAR TAMRE

... RESPONDENTS

(SRI.ASHOK B.PATIL, ADV. FOR C/R2 SRI.K.M.JAGANATH, ADV. FOR R4)

,

This RFA is filed under Section 96 of CPC against the judgment and decree dated 26.09.2016 passed on I.A.No.19 in Ex.Case No.142/2014 on the file of the XI Addl. City Civil Judge, Bengaluru rejecting the I.A.No.19.

This appeal coming on for Admission this day, the Court delivered the following:-

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

Learned counsel for the appellant fairly submits that the respondents who are the plaintiffs in the Court below have taken possession of the property. Therefore, nothing survives for consideration in this appeal which is filed challenging the order of rejection of application in I.A.No.19 under Order 21 Rule 97 read

with Section 151 of CPC. His submission is placed on record.

- 2. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as it does not survives for consideration.
- 3. In view of the dismissal of the appeal, IA No.1/2016 does not survive for consideration and is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-JUDGE

RV