

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 2018

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE L. NARAYANA SWAMY

WRIT PETITION NOS.36745-36746/2018 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. PRAMILA T.,
W/O. LATE P. SRIKANTH,
AGE MAJOR,
2. SRI. ADHISH S.,
S/O. LATE P. SRIKANTH,
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,
BOTH THE PETITIONERS,
R/O. NO.31, SONNENAHALLI,
MARUTHINAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 056.

... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. KESHAV R. AGNIHOTRI., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE REGISTRAR,
BENGALURU UNIVERSITY,
JNANABHARATHI,
BENGALURU-560 056.
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPT. BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
VIKAS SOUDHA,
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BANGALORE-560 001.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. E.S. INDIRESH, AGA. FOR R-2)

THESE WRIT PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER ARTICLES
226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO

DIRECT THE R-1 HEREIN TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS VIDE ANNEX-E TO J AND ACCORDINGLY RELEASE THE SERVICE BENEFITS OF THE DECEASED EMPLOYEE SRIKANTH IN TERMS OF VIDE ANNEX-C AND D AND ALSO TO PROVIDE A SUITABLE JOB TO THE PETITIONER NO.2 IN THE RESPONDENT NO.2 IN THE RESPONDENT NO.1 UNIVERSITY ON COMPASSIONATE GROUNDS.

THESE PETITIONS COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

The petitioners in these writ petitions have sought for a direction to the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioners vide Annexures E to J and accordingly release the service benefits of the deceased employee- Srikanth in terms of Annexures C and D and also to provide suitable job to the petitioner No.2.

2. The deceased –Srikanth was an employee of the respondent No.1 whose services have been regularized w.e.f. 1.6.1991. While in service, he died.

3. Thereafter, the petitioners have made representations vide Annexures E to J and C and D for providing appointment on compassionate ground and also to extend the service benefits of the deceased. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents in spite of receiving the representations of the

petitioners have not considered the same. Thereafter, the petitioners gave one more joint representation dated 12.1.2018.

4. Hence the respondents are directed to consider the representations given by the petitioners and pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible.

5. Accordingly, the petitions are disposed off.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

nm