

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO.1126 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.1821 OF 2015(D)

(RAVINDRANATH TAGOR BAHU-UDDSHIYA SHIKSHAN SANSTHA & OTH...VS.. KU. CHHAYA
ANANDJI HAGE & ANR.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Anil P. Thakre, Advocate for Applicants.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : NOVEMBER 30, 2018.

Heard.

The learned advocate for the applicants (original petitioners) states that the non-applicant/respondent No.1 is reinstated and is receiving her salary regularly. By this application, the applicants/petitioners seek review of the judgment passed by this Court to the extent directions are given to pay the back-wages. It is submitted that the financial condition of the petitioner No.1-society is not good and it is not possible for it to pay back-wages.

I find that the issue of entitlement of the respondent No.1 for back-wages is considered in paragraph No.7 of the judgment given in Writ Petition No. 1821 of 2015.

The applicants/ petitioners have not been able to point out any error apparent on the face of the record which necessitates review of the judgment.

The application is **dismissed**. No costs.

JUDGE