

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.1088 OF 2014

Suresh Durgaih Organti ... Applicant  
Vs.  
Laxmi Durgiah Organti and others ... Respondents

Mr. Anand Kumar Singh for Applicant.  
Mr. Rakesh Agarwal for Respondent No.1.

**CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.**  
**DATE : JUNE 29, 2018**

**P.C. :**

Not on Board. At the request of Mr. Singh, taken up in the Production Board.

2. Advocate Anand Kumar Singh submitted that the applicant is sleeping on the platform for 3 days and had requested Advocate representing him to withdraw the Application. However, the Advocate appearing for the applicant did not move for withdrawal of the application as also did not give NOC. Mr. Singh, therefore, submitted that he may be permitted to file Vakalatnama without NOC of the previous Advocate.

3. In view thereof, Mr. Singh is permitted to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant. Office shall not insist for NOC of the earlier Advocate. Order accordingly.

4. Heard Mr. Singh, learned Counsel for applicant and Mr. Agarwal, learned Counsel for respondent No.1.

5. Mr. Singh states that applicant is present in the Court. Applicant unconditionally wants to withdraw the C.R.A. He has tendered affidavit of the applicant along with photocopy of his Aadhar Card. The same is taken on record and marked 'A colly.' for identification. Mr. Singh also

identifies the applicant.

6. Mr. Agarwal submitted that applicant has instituted the present proceedings against the mother and brothers challenging the decree passed by the Courts below in a Suit instituted for declaration of tenancy rights by mother.

7. On the motion made by Mr. Singh, Civil Revision Application is allowed to be withdrawn and is dismissed as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

**(R. G. KETKAR, J.)**

*Minal Parab*