

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16840 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16845 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16851 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16866 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16867 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16868 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16870 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16871 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16873 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16875 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16876 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16889 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16891 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16893 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16894 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16901 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16904 of 2018

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16908 of 2018

SATYAVEL APPASWAMY S/O. APPASWAMY THANGAVEL

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

MR MS RAO(1144) for the PETITIONER(s) No. 1

MR KETAN PARIKH for the RESPONDENT(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANANT S. DAVE
and
HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.C. RAO

Date : 30/10/2018

COMMON ORAL ORDER
(PER : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANANT S. DAVE)

Mr. Keatn Parikh, learned Standing Counsel appears for the respondent authorities upon service of advance copy of each of the petition. Accordingly, he is permitted to file his appearance in the petitions.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing the matters for some time, seeks permission to withdraw all these petitions, with a view to approach the concerned respondent authorities to adjudicate the grievances especially with regard to Liberalised Active Retirement Scheme for Guaranteed Employment for Safety Staff [LARGESS] with a prayer to set aside the direction contained in the impugned orders of awarding costs of Rs.2 lakhs upon the petitioners in each of the petition.

3 Having heard learned counsels for the parties and considering the challenge to the judgment dated 22.02.2018 rendered in a group of original applications in the context of challenge in its entirety before the Central Administrative Tribunal of termination of LARGESS in view of

direction given by the Apex Court in SLP [C] No.508 of 2018 dated 08.01.2018, and persuasion of legitimate scheme by the applicants before the Central Administrative Tribunal by raising various contentions of law as well as on facts, we are of the view that costs ought not have been imposed upon the petitioners.

4 In view of the above, all these petitions stand disposed of as withdrawn. The costs of Rs.2 lakhs imposed by the Tribunal upon each of the petitioner in the impugned order, is hereby set aside.

We make it clear that permission for withdrawal is granted in all these writ petitions for a limited purpose to ventilate legitimate grievance in accordance with law about communication dated 26.09.2018 addressed to all General Managers, All Indian Railways.

(ANANT S. DAVE, J)

(A. C. RAO, J)

P. SUBRAHMANYAM