

~ 1 ~

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

W.P. No. 26959/2018

(Bahadur Singh Vs. State of MP and others)

Indore, Dated: 30/11/2018

Ms. V. Sumanlata learned counsel for petitioner.

Shri Vivek Patwa learned counsel for State.

Heard.

By this writ petition, the petitioner who is working as Carpenter in the respondent department for last 20 years has prayed for a direction to the respondents to regularise him, grant him benefit of first and second krammonati, grant him the regular pay scale as also promotion.

Counsel for state has fairly submitted that if separate representation before the competent authority in respect of each prayer is filed then the same will be duly considered by the competent authority within a time bound period in accordance with law.

Having regard to the aforesaid, without adverting to the merits of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed off by giving liberty to petitioner to file appropriate representation for each of the relief separately before the competent authority and if such representations are filed by petitioner the same will be considered and decided by competent authority in accordance with law within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the same.

C.c. as per rules.

(Prakash Shrivastava)
Judge

BDJ

Bhuneshwar Datt
2018.12.01 11:02:34
-08'00'