#### **AFR**

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

## Writ Petition (S) No. 4885 of 2018

Shiv Kumar Verma S/o Late D. R. Verma Aged About 54 Years Working As Upper Division Teacher At Govt. Middle School Shuklabhata, Block Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

#### Versus

- 1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2. Director, Chhattisgarh Public Instruction Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 3. District Education Officer, Balodabazar, District Balodabazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
- 4. Shri Sunil Kumar Tiwari Head Master, Working At Govt. Middle School Pangaon, Block- Balodabazar, District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate

For State/R.1,2,3 : Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer

# **Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**

# **Order On Board**

# 31.07.2018

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition to quash order dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.3 for promotion of the private respondent i.e. respondent No.4 for the post of Head Master Middle School and to direct respondent No.2 to grant him promotion as Head Master Middle School, one day before, when his junior employee has been promoted as Head Master Middle School with all consequential benefits and to

decide his pending representation, which is part of Annexure P-5, received on 11.06.2018.

The petitioner is working as Upper Division Teacher and posted at Government Middle School Shuklabhata, Block Balodabazar,

District Balodabazar-Bhatapara.

3. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the instant writ

petition may be disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.3

to decide his pending representation, which is part of Annexure P-

5 within a stipulated time.

4. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and

submission made by the counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ

petition is disposed of with this direction that respondent No.3

shall consider and decide the pending representation of the

petitioner Annexure P-5 expeditiously preferably within a period of

90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in

accordance with law and procedure and considering all

consequential benefits.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on

the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)

**JUDGE** 

L/-