

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3409 of 2018

Sanjay Kumar Sahu S/o Bharat Lal Sahu, Aged About 36 Years
Working As Assistant Grade- Iii, Cspgcl, R/o Village Madwa, District
Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Energy Department,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur Chhattisgarh., District :
Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited (An
Enterprises Of Government Of Chhattisgarh), Through Its Managing
Director, Dagania, Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
3. The Deputy General Manager, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Holding
Company Limited, Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Engineer, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Production
Company Limited, Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur,
Chhattisgarh
5. The Superintending Engineer, (Civil) Circle-1, Chhattisgarh State
Power General Co. Ltd., Madwa, District Janjgir Champa
Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner: Mr. Varunendra Mishra, On behalf of Mr. V. R. Tiwari,
Advocate.

For State : Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order on Board

30/04/2018

1. The limited grievance of the petitioner raised in the instant writ
petition is that though the petitioner has been granted appointment by the
respondents under the Chhattisgarh State Rehabilitation Scheme and he

had assumed his duty on 27.04.2012, till date the case of the petitioner has not been considered by the respondents for regularization in spite of the petitioner's having all the eligibility requirements.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order of appointment of the petitioner itself reflects that the case of the petitioner was to be considered for regularization after two years of satisfactory service which he has already achieved and his annual confidential report does not reflect any adverse entry till date.

3. Given the facts, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would be met if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 2 & 3 to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of regularization in accordance with the scheme by virtue of which he was appointed. It is ordered accordingly. Let this exercise be done as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of his entitlement. The Authorities would be at liberty to decide the claim of the petitioner purely on merits as per the rules and guidelines governing the field.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

Judge