

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5861 of 2018

1. Dilip Kumar
2. Kanti Devi
3. Rajeev Ranjan
4. Madhvi Devi @ Madhavi Kumari Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT BIJAY SINGH

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : A.P.P.

04/Dated: 28/09/2018

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with the case registered under Sections 149, 307, 498(A) of the IPC and Section 3 /4 of the D.P. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated. It is further submitted that as per complaint petitioners are allegedly in-laws of the O.P.No.2 as Madhav Kumar, son of petitioner no.1, never solemnized marriage with O.P.No.2.

Learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail.

In view of the fact that the anticipatory bail application of Madhav Kumar, husband of O.P.No.2 is pending before this Court in which both the parties have directed to remain physically present before this Court for reconciliation through process of mediation, I am inclined to admit the petitioners on anticipatory bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the court below within four weeks and in the event of their arrest or surrender, the court below shall release them on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Deoghar, in connection with Deoghar (T) P.S. Case No.433/17, corresponding to G.R.No.1145/17, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anant Bijay Singh, J.)

fahim/-