

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**W.P.(S) No.2480 of 2017**

Subhash Prasad, son of Late Shree Ram Prasad, resident of Kaushalya Bhawan, Gwalapara, Icchapur, near DAV School, NIT, Adityapur, P.O-R.I.T, P.S R.I.T, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand) .... Petitioner

## -Versus-

1. The Union of India through the Director, National Institute of Technology (Jamshedpur), Adityapur, PO & PS-R.I.T, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan
2. Smt. Prabhawati Prasad, wife of Late Shree Ram Prasad, resident of M/39, Old Housing Colony (Pan Dukan), Adityapur, P.O & P.S Adityapur, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand)
3. Bibha Prasad, wife of Late Pravin Prasad, resident of Haven Palace, Adityapur, P.O & P.S-Adityapur, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand)
4. Bipin Prasad, son of Late Shree Ram Prasad, resident of UH/10, R.I.T. Society, Adityapur, P.O-Adityapur, P.S-R.I.T, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand)
5. Pankaj Prasad, son of Late Shree Ram Prasad, resident of M/39, Old Housing Colony (Pan Dukan), Adityapur, PO & PS-Adityapur, District-Saraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand)
6. Sunita Prasad, wife of Ashutosh Kumar Sinha, resident of Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, Town Nasik, P.O & P.S- Hindustan Aeronautics Colony, District Nasik (Maharashtra)
7. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Department, Government of India, P.O & P.S- New Delhi, New Delhi

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arpan Mishra, Adv.  
For the Resp.-U.O.I. : Mrs. Nitu Sinha, C.G.C  
For the Resp. Nos.2,5 & 6: Mr. Abdul Wahab, Adv.

2. The petitioner is son of the deceased employee and respondent no.2 is the wife of the employee. Respondent no.2 was made nominee by the employee for receiving post-retiral benefits, in the event of his death. There is no dispute that 70% of the amount of post-retiral benefits was paid to the respondent no.2.

3. Mrs. Nitu Sinha, the learned counsel for the respondent-Union of India states that in compliance of order dated 31.01.2018, Rs.1117874/- which is 30% of the balance

amount accumulated on account of “triple benefit” payable to the employee has been paid to the respondent no.2 through cheque dated 22.03.2018.

4. In the above view of the matter, now this writ petition has been rendered infructuous and accordingly, disposed of as such.

**(Shree Chandrashekhar, J.)**

sudhir