

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No.: WP(C) 2439/2014

1:WELSON TERON and 31 ORS S/O HARNA TERON R/O PUB KIKHARU P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG, ASSAM.

2: SRI PRADIP SIGNAR R/O PUB KIKHARU P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

3: SRI BABU TOKBI R/O KASDAN PLONG TOKHI P.S. DOKMOKA P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

4: MD. JAHIDUL HOQUE S/O MD. MAJOR ALI R/O MARBA CHOWKI P.S. SIPAJHAR DIST. DARRANG ASSAM.

5: THOMAS ENGLANG S/O LT. LAY SIG ENGLANG R/O DIKHONG BUGSO KONGLAYLOG P.O. DILAYPI DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

6: KOLOM SING ENGLANG S/OLT. HEONARI ENGLANG R/O CHERI HAYSO SORTHA PO. DIPLEEP DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

7: SRI BINOY ENGLANG S/O KASAR ENGLANG R/O GHIRI LAYSO SARTHE P.O. DIPLEEP DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

8: RAM SINGH INGTI S/OLT. HARO INGTI R/O CHIPHONG KIMI P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

9: SRI RAMESWAR TISSO S/O LT. PHANURI TISSO R/O CHIPHONG KIMI P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

10: RANJAN RONGHANG S/O LT. NILAKUT RANGHANG R/O PUB DIKHARU P.O. PAROKHOWA P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

11: AJIT TERON S/O KANTI RAM TERON R/O RONGMANGVA GAON P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

12: RAJEN TIMUNG R/O VILL- KINGLENG GAON P.O. DOKMOKA P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

13: SRI MANGAL SINGH PHANGCHO S/O KANG HURA PHANGCHO R/O JONG RONGHANG GAON P.O. DOKMOKA P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

14: SRI BIREN SINGH TIMUNG S/O LT. HAVAR TIMUNG R/O HANGLING TERON GAON P.O. DOURBAMUL P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

15: SRI DHON NATH S/O BABUL NATH R/O CHAKOLI NATHGAON P.O. PARKLOWA P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

16: SRI BIDYA RAM TERON S/O SRI KAT NATH R/O DOURBAX TERON GAON LONG LOKEO P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

17: LONGBIR ROY R/O SARIHAJAN P.O. SARIHAJAN P.S. BOKAJAN DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM. 18: SRI GHANARAM TISSO S/O SATRTHE TISSO R/O VILL- ALPO RONG GAON P.O. and P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

19: SRI KARTIK ENGTI S/O LT. SARPO ENGTI VILL- CHEPHOREGKIMO P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

20: SRI PANGKAJ TERON S/O BARNA TERON R/O VILL- PUB DIKHARU P.O. and P.S. HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

21: SRI PRABAL TERON S/OLT. LANG ANG TERON R/O RONGMONGER NEAR MONGSING INGTI ITI P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

22: SRI SHYAM SINGH INGTI S/OLT. HARWO INGTI R/O VILL- CHEPHONG KIMI P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

23: SRI ANTONY TERON S/O SRI MANGAL SINGH TERON R/O VILL- ENGLONG CHAEROP P.O. and P.S. DIPU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

24: SRI ALOK KAR S/OLT. MANIK KAR R/O D.C. COLONY P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

25: SRI SANJIV RONGPI S/O JAPAN RONGPI R/O SARLIPTERANG SARIHAJON P.O. SARIHAJON P.S. BOKAJAN DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

26: SRI DHANIRAM INGTI S/O SRI KRINO INGTI R/O VILL- JITUPLONG P.O. BAKALIAGHAT P.S HOWRAGHAT DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

27: SRI DHORAM DERA

S/O RUPSING DERA R/O RADIO CENTRE P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

28: SRI HARMON ENGTI S/O SAMYSING ENGTI R/O VILL- CHEPONGKIN P.O. and P.S. DIPHU DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

29: ROHAN TOKBI S/O BURA TOKBI R/O SHILDHARAMPUR P.O. and P.S. DOKMOKA DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

30: WELSON HANSE S/O KAMAL SINGH HANSE R/O VILL- VILL- ENGLANG GAON SHILDHARAMPUR P.O. and P.S. DOKMOKA DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

31: BIBISON HANSE

S/O KAMAL SINGH HANSE R/O VILL- ENGLANG GAON SHILDHARAMPUR P.O. and P.S. DOKMOKA DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM.

32: PRITOM RONGHANG S/O PABITRA RONGHANG R/O VILL- PAROKHUWA P.O. and P.S. DOKMOKA DIST. KARBI ANGLONG ASSAM

VERSUS

1:ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY and 3 ORS. REP. BY THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER HR BIJULEE BHAWAN, PALTAN BAZAR, GUWAHATI-1

2:THE GENERAL MANAGER

BV ZONE

SILCHAR

APDCL

SILCHAR

CACHAR

ASSAM

3:THE ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER KANCH ELECTRICAL CIRCLE APDCL CAR DIPHU ASSAM.

Page No.# 5/6

4:THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
KANCH ELECTRICAL CIRCLE
APDCL
CAR
DIPHU
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.K P GOGOI, MR. R. SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. N.J. DUTTA

BEFORE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJIT BHUYAN

ORDER

Date: 29-03-2018

Heard Mr. R. Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. N.J. Dutta, learned counsel representing all the respondents.

On 27.03.2014, an Advertisement was issued by the Chief Executive Officer, KANCH Electrical Circle, Diphu for filling up 36 posts of Sayahak. Grievance expressed in the writ petition is that the petitioners were not supplied with the application forms, as a result of which, they could not apply against the Advertisement dated 27.03.2014. In this regard, prayer made in the writ petition is for quashing the said Advertisement dated 27.03.2014.

The respondent-APDCL have filed affidavit-in-opposition on 21.10.2014. Stand taken is that the said Advertisement dated 27.03.2014 was published in compliance of the order of this Court dated 05.03.2014 passed in WP(C) 1995/2010; WP(C) 4310/2012 and WP(C) 394/2013. In the said Advertisement, the eligibility criteria for the candidates were indicated as follows:

"(A) Education Qualification – Read up to Class VIII standard.

(B) Work experience – 1 (one) year working experience as on February, 2010 as outsourced

labour on daily wage basis in Line/Sub-Station maintenance work in any Sub-Division/Division of the

Circle.

(C) Age – should not be less than 18 years and not more than 37 years as on 1.10.08, upper age

limit is relaxable by 5 years for SC/ST candidates."

Stand taken in the said affidavit is that as against the eligibility criteria laid

down in the Advertisement, the petitioners were found to be not eligible for appearing

in the interview for the post of Sayahak. Accordingly, no call letters were issued to

them.

Mr. Dutta submits that all the 36 posts of Sayahak have since been filled up

and the present writ petition have not been amended to bring on record the said

appointees as party respondents in the case. This Court finds force in the submission

of Mr. Dutta.

Having regard to the above, I find no merit in the writ petition. All the 36

posts have since been filled up and the appointees are also not made parties in the

present proceeding. Further, the petitioners have not been able to establish that they

fulfill the eligibility criteria as laid down in the Advertisement dated 27.03.2014. The

writ petition, being devoid of merit, stands dismissed, however, without any order as

to cost.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant

Benoy