Serial No. 20 Regular List

## HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 385 of 2017

Date of Decision: 31.10.2018

Shri. Imanul Ali Vs. GHADC & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.R. Sen, Judge

**Appearance:** 

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. S.K. Hassan, Adv. vice

Mr. S.A. Sheikh, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Dey, Adv. (For R 1-5)

i) Whether approved for reporting in Yes/No

Law journals etc.:

ii) Whether approved for publication

in press: Yes/No

1. The matter came up for hearing.

- 2. Heard Mr. S.K. Hassan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Mr. S. Dey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No. 1-5/GHADC
- 3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the instant writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondent No. 5 to demarcate the land of the petitioner, but till date they have not done. So, necessary direction may be issued.
- 4. On contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No. 1-5/ GHADC submits that the fact is that the officials of the GHADC went for demarcation of the land on 01.05.2017 on the spot, but they could not demarcate the boundary as the petitioner failed to show his property.
- 5. After hearing the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, it appears that the matter involved is the claim and counter claim. If it is so, then the matter is to be decided by a competent civil Court not by a writ Court. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this instant writ petition. However, the petitioner is at liberty to move to the

civil Court if any civil dispute arises.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed and stands disposed of.

(S.R. Sen) Judge

Meghalaya 31.10.2018 "D. Nary, PS"

