

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 1118 of 2018

Sanasam Mangi Singh

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

State of Manipur & 2 Ors

..... Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR

ORDER
(ORAL)

29-11-2018

Heard Mr. M. Hemchandra, learned senior counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Nepolean, learned GA for the respondents No. 1 & 2.

[2] The petitioner was initially appointed on adhoc basis as a Science Graduate Teacher by an order dated 18-12-1986. Thereafter, the petitioner was allowed to discharge his duties as Deputy Inspectors of School in the Zonal Education Office, Thoubal by an order dated 11-05-2017. By another order dated 11-12-2017, the petitioner was posted from Zonal Education Office, Thoubal to Zonal Education Office, Kamjong. In the meantime, the respondents issued another order dated 24-11-2018 by which the petitioner was again transferred from Kamjong to Jiribam as Deputy Inspector i/c ZEO, Jiribam.

[3] The petitioner being aggrieved with the frequent transfer is before this Court challenging the order dated 24-11-2018.

[4] It is also the case of the petitioner that the Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms (Personnel Division) has issued an Office Memorandum dated 24th April, 1991 which provides at clause (2) that no transfers may be effected unless the concerned person has completed about 3 years in a post, unless there are compelling reasons to do so.

[5] The petitioner alleges that there was no compelling reason for being transferred from Kamjong to Jiribam by the order dated 24-11-2018. Being aggrieved, the petitioner has also made a representation dated 27-11-2018 before the Commissioner, Education (S), Government of Manipur requesting for review/cancelling/modifying the transfer and posting affected by the order dated 24-11-2018. However, till date, the representation dated 27-11-2018 is yet to be addressed by the respondents.

[6] After hearing the parties and considering the fact that the representation of the petitioner dated 27-11-2018 is pending for disposal before the respondent No. 1, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to consider and dispose of the representation dated 27-11-2018 made by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order of this Court.

[7] Till the representation dated 27-11-2018 is disposed of by the respondent No. 1, the order dated 24-11-2018 shall remain suspended in as far as the petitioner is concerned.

With the above observations and directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

kim