

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

CRP (C.R.P. ART.227) No. 29 of 2018

1. Shri Yumnam Debeswor Singh, aged about 55 years, S/o. Y. Nilamani Singh;
2. Shri Yumnam Gopalkrishna Singh, aged about 53 years, S/o. Y. Nilamani Singh;
3. Shri Yumnam Basanta Singh, aged about 51 years, S/o. Y. Nilamani Singh;
4. Shri Yumnam Bishenchandra Singh, aged about 35 years, S/o. Y. Nilamani Singh;
.....all are residents of Yaiskul, Moibunglokpa Leikai, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, District Imphal West, Manipur.
5. Smt. Yumnam Sushila Devi, aged about 49 years, D/o. Y. Nilamani Singh, of Keishamthong Thiyam Leikai, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, District Imphal West, Manipur.

..... Petitioners

- Versus -

1. Shri Shri Radha Gokulalnanda,through its Sebait Shri Krishnadas Paul, aged about 50 years, S/o. (late) Brajabihari Paul of Keishamthong Top Leirak, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur;
2. Smt. Rajkiran Paul, W/o. (late) Gopinath Paul of Keishamthong Top Leirak, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur presently residing at C/O Aekhoi Hotel, AGGRU Tent House, Wangkhei, Imphal East District, Manipur;
3. Km. Ruchi Paul represented by her mother Smt. Rajkiran Paul, W/o. (late) Gopinath Paul of Keishamthong Top Leirak, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur presently residing at C/O Aekhoi Hotel, AGGRU Tent House, Wangkhei, Imphal East District, Manipur.

.... Respondents

BEFORE

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. RAMALINGAM SUDHAKAR

For the petitioner : Mr. Ch. Robinchandra, Advocate
For the respondents : Mr. S. Dijeshwor, Advocate
Mr. S. Temba, Advocate

Date of Order : 29.11.2018

ORDER

[1] Heard Mr. Ch. Robinchandra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners; Mr. S. Dijeshwor and Mr. S. Temba, learned counsel for the respondents.

[2] This revision petition has been dismissed in limine because the Annexure-A/3, which is the impugned proceeding reads as follows:

"BEFORE THE REVENUE TRIBUNAL: MANIPUR

Revenue Misc. Case No. 88 of 2017.

Ref: - Revenue Revision Case No. 58 of 2016

Shree Shree Radha Gokulchandra through its Sebait Shri Krishna Das Paul aged about 50 years old, S/o late Brajabihari Paul of Keishamthong Top Leirak, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur.

...Petitioner.

Smt., Rajkiran Paul W/o late Gopinath Paul of Keishamthong Top Leirak, P.O. and P.S. Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur presently residing at C/O Aeikhoi Hotel Aggru Tent House Wangkhei, Imphal East District, Manipur.

.....Respondent.

ORDER

Dated, the 20th February, 2018

Parties by counsel present. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and also perused the materials on record.

On having heard and on having perused the materials on record I find that the petition has no merit. Hence, the case is dismissed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 600/- so as to compensate the opposite parties for the delay caused.

The case is disposed of accordingly.

Announced.

*Sd/
(N. Kipgen)
Presiding Officer,
Revenue Tribunal, Manipur"*

[3] Whereas Revenue Misc. Application No. 88 of 2017 has been filed by 5 (five) persons namely (1) Shri Yumnam Debeswor Singh; (2) Shri Yumnam Gopalkrishna Singh; (3) Shri Yumnam Basanta Singh; (4) Shri Yumnam Bishenchandra Singh; and (5) Smt. Yumnam Sushila Devi; in Revenue Revision Case No. 58 of 2016, nowhere except a scribbling is there in Annexure-A/2 to show that name of **Radhagokul** is there.

[4] Even in the revision petition, the parties are different from the petitioners in Revenue Misc. Case No. 88 of 2017. Since the Revenue Misc. Case No. 88 of 2017 deals with Radhagokulchandra (Annexure-A/3) and the present revision petition deals with 5 (five) persons mentioned above, there is a doubt and the learned counsel for petitioners, is unable to clarify the same.

[5] Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to file a proper petition with relevant documents.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Sandeep