

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR  
AT IMPHAL**

**Review. Pet. No. 8 of 2017 With  
Review Pet. No. 12 of 2017**

Virendra Rajkumar & anr

**..... Petitioners**

**-Versus-**

State of Manipur & 9 ors.

**..... Respondents**

**With**

K. Ibochou Singh

**..... Petitioner**

**-Versus-**

State of Manipur & 9 ors.

**..... Respondents**

BEFORE  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KH. NOBIN SINGH**

**O R D E R**

29.12.2018

Heard Shri R.K. Deepak, learned counsel appearing for the review petitioners; Shri I. Denning, learned counsel appearing for the respondents and Shri Ashang, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents.

These Review Petitions have been filed by the petitioners for quashing the impugned judgment and order dated 30.3.2017 passed by this Court in Writ Petition being WP(C) No. 555 of 2014 on the inter alia grounds that there is apparent error on the face of record; that the

petitioners are the private respondents who were not appointed in the same recruitment year with the private respondents; that by the time when the private respondents were appointed, the review petitioners were already in the cadre of Assistant Engineer and therefore the private respondents cannot be made senior to the review petitioners and that while determining the interse seniority, the general principle as laid down in Office Memorandum dated 7.2.1986 ought not to have been applied. But during the course of hearing as regards the error apparent on the face of the record, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is unable to point out the error in the judgment and order and as regards the other submissions, they are in relation to the questions of law or for that matter, the interpretation of law on merits which may be grounds for preferring appeal.

In view of the above, this Court is of the view that review petitions are devoid of merit and accordingly, are dismissed.

**JUDGE**

*Indrajeet*

