

W.P.(C) No. 63 of 2017 Anita Poudaly & Ors. vs. State of Sikkim & Ors.

THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM: GANGTOK

(Civil Extra Ordinary Jurisdiction)

S.B.: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, CJ.

W.P. (C) No. 63 of 2017

- Anita Poudaly,
 Aged about 42 years,
 Presently working as LDC (Work Charge)
 in Rural Management and Development
 Department,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.
- Ganga Gurung,
 Aged about 44 years,
 Presently working as LDC (M/R) in
 Energy and Power Department,
 Pakyong, East Sikkim,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.
- 3. Kabita Bhujel,
 Aged about 44 years,
 Presently working as LDC (Work Charge) in
 Energy and Power Department,
 Pakyong, East Sikkim,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.

Petitioners.

versus

State of Sikkim,
 Represented by and through
 the Chief Secretary,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.



W.P.(C) No. 63 of 2017 Anita Poudaly & Ors. vs. State of Sikkim & Ors.

- The Secretary,
 Energy and Power Department,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.
- The Secretary,
 Department of Personnel,
 Administrative Reforms and Training,
 Government of Sikkim,
 Gangtok, East Sikkim.

... Respondents.

Appearance:

Mr. A. Moulik, Sr. Advocate with Ms. K.D. Bhutia and Mr. Ranjit Prasad, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, Govt. Advocate, Ms. Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocate and Ms. Sangita Pradhan, Advocate (DOPART) for the Respondents.

ORDER (ORAL)

(18.04.2018)

Satish K. Agnihotri, CJ

The case of the petitioners is that the first petitioner was appointed in the post of Office Helper on work-charged establishment on a monthly pay on 17th August, 1995. The second petitioner was appointed on muster roll basis as Demand Clerk on 30th July, 1996. The third petitioner was appointed as Office Helper on temporary work-charged establishment on a monthly pay on 20th June, 1995. The

Court No. 1

W.P.(C) No. 63 of 2017 Anita Poudaly & Ors. vs. State of Sikkim & Ors.

petitioners have been working ever since till date.

Moulik, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners

submits that the petitioners were denied regularization in their

posts on the sole ground that the petitioners are married to

non-Sikkimese.

During pendency of the writ petition, it is brought to our

notice that the State Government has taken decision to

regularize services of the petitioners and, accordingly,

memorandum dated 06th April 2018 was issued to the first

petitioner and to the second and third petitioners on 20th

March, 2018. Thus, nothing survives for adjudication at this

stage.

Learned Senior Counsel seeks liberty to agitate the issue,

if the petitioners are denied any right which a Sikkimese is

entitled to on the ground of being married to a non-Sikkimese.

The liberty is reserved to the petitioners, if so advised, in

accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Chief Justice

18.04.2018

jk/pm

Index: Yes / No Internet: Yes / No

3