

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN BENCH AT
JAIPUR**

S.B.Civil Writ Petition No. 8970 / 2016

Giriraj Verma S/o Shri Prabhu Dayal Verma, resident of Old Ragaro
ka Mohalla, Piplai Gangapur Road, Tehsil Bamanwas District
Sawaimadhopur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited through Chief Personal
Officer, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Department of
Personnel, Vidyut Bhawan, Janpath Jaipur.
2. The executive Engineer (D.D.) Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam
Limited, Department of Personnel Department, Jhalawar.
3. The Assistant Engineer (O & M) Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam
Limited, Bhawani Mandi Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, State Board of Examination, Kaushal Vikas
Sanchalyan, M.P. Jabalpur.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. M.E. Baig Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Order

28/02/2017

The petitioner was given hearing in terms of order passed by
this court dt. 22.08.2014 by speaking order, the authorities have

examined the genuineness of the certificate produced by the petitioner. The Secretary (SCVT), Directorate Employment and Training Madhya Pradesh has informed that the ITI certificate of the petitioner was not correct. A confidential letter was also sent to the Secretary, State Board of Examination, Madhya Pradesh on 23.11.2012 for checking the genuineness and it is mentioned in the impugned order that when no intimation was received Section Officer of this office was deputed to visit to office of concerned Board at Jabalpur vide order No. 872 dt.03.05.2012. Dy. Director, Kausal Vikas Sanchalanalya, Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur vide his letter No.1176 dt.09.05.2013 has again informed that the documents of the petitioner and nine other candidates are not genuine. Services of the petitioner were terminated on the said basis. The letters produced by the petitioner on 19.09.2013 and 30.12.2013 were also sent by the respondent for verification and comments. A criminal case has also been registered against the petitioner on 18.07.2013.

Taking into consideration all the aspects, the appointing authority has cancelled the appointment order of the petitioner, who was admittedly on probation and no right can be said to have been created in his favour.

Therefore, this court does not find any merit in the case and accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)J.