

WPMS No. 2237 of 2009

Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.

Mr. J.C. Pandey, Advocate, present for the petitioner.

Mr. Gajendra Tripathi, Brief Holder, present for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with a passage of time, the writ petition has now become infructuous because the claim in the writ petition was confined to complete the consolidation proceedings and to transfer the physical possession of land under Section 28 of the said Act.

In view of the above statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Sharad Kumar Sharma J.)

31.05.2017

Nitesh