

WPMS No. 666 of 2008

Hon'ble Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.

List has been revised. None appears for the petitioners to press the writ petition. Even otherwise also, it seems that the petitioner has questioned the Appellate Court's order passed in Suit for permanent injunction whereby the learned District Judge had allowed the Miscellaneous Appeal preferred by the respondent under Order 43 Rule 1 (r). But right since the date of filing of the petition i.e. w.e.f 22.04.2008, no interim order was passed in favour of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner has lost his interest to pursue the writ petition and the *lis* might have become infructuous and, thus, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Sharad Kumar Sharma,J.)

31.08.2017

Nahid