

Urgency Application No. 501 of 2017

In

BA1 No. 12 of 2017

Hon'ble U.C.Dhyani, J.

Mr. Abhishek Verma, counsel for the applicant.

Mr. Prem Kaushal, Brief Holder for the State.

Counter affidavit is taken on record.

Heard.

Applicant is seeking regular bail in connection with Case Crime No. 16 of 2017, relating to offences punishable under section 9, 39, 39(3) and 51 of (Wild Life Protection) Act 1972 registered at Range Rishikesh, District Dehradun.

Two gall bladders of wild bear were allegedly recovered from the possession of the applicant who is in jail since 27.11.2016. Applicant has no previous history. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case.

Learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application on the ground that the applicant will flee away from justice, if he is enlarged on bail. To this, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is ready to furnish reliable sureties to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned, if he is granted bail.

Considering the entire conspectus of things, this court is of the opinion that the present applicant be enlarged on bail.

The bail application is allowed.

Let the applicant be released on bail on executing personal bond and furnishing two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

If at any point of time, it is found that applicant is misusing the bail, the learned court below shall be at liberty to cancel his bail in accordance with law.

Parul

(U.C.Dhyani, J.)

Vacation Judge

31.01.2017