

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No 1657 of 2017

Date of decision: 31.1.2017

Guru Hargobind Sahib Bus Service through its Managing Partner.

Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and ors

Respondent

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate.

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

The petitioner claims that he has got a right to operate his buses against permit No. 265/R/97 dated 23.5.1997.

Through the instant writ petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the State Transport Commissioner, Punjab exercising the powers of Regional Transport Authority to consider the request of the petitioner and issue timing in the time table, enabling the petitioner to operate his bus service.

The petitioner appears to have sent a legal notice dated 20.9.2016 to Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala, with a copy to State Transport Commissioner, Punjab.

Notice of motion. On asking of the Court, notice has been accepted by Ms. Anu Pal, AAG, Punjab. Copy given.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in a case direction is issued to respondent No.3, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala to consider the claim of the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala to consider the claim of the

petitioner by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of three months after receipt of a certified copy of the order. In case no notice has been received in the office of respondent No.3, legal notice (Annexure P-7) will be considered as the claim of the petitioner, which would be considered by passing a speaking order.

January 31 ,2017
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No