

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33556-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.08.2017

Kulwant Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Darshan Singh.

Mr. APS Deol, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.27 dated 09.06.2016, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Bathinda.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the complainant. The entire family including the unmarried sister-in-law has been roped in. Only vague and general allegations have been levelled in the FIR. In pursuance of the orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and got recovered gold ornaments weighing 8 ½ tolas.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but the recovery is yet to be

effected.

Learned Senior counsel, appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has vehemently opposed the instant petition. It is averred that the dowry articles are yet to be recovered.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is the mother-in-law, recovery of about 8 ½ tolas gold has already been effected from her and she has joined the investigation in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

31.08.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No