

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-32985 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 29.09.2017**

Manav Sharma

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. L. S. Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.73 dated 06.05.2014 under Sections 420/406/408/436/201 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.8, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute and the matter has now been compromised.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 19.09.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Additional District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, has sent his report dated 16.03.2017,

wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a momentary dispute between the parties and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.73 dated 06.05.2014 under Sections 420/406/408/436/201 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.8, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 29, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No