

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22941-2017

Date of Decision: 30.06.2017

Saksham Gupta and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

1. On prayer of Ld. counsel for the petitioners, the designation of respondent No.2 is permitted to be corrected as 'Senior Superintendent of Police' in place of 'Commissioner of Police' S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali. Registry is directed to do the needful.
2. In this petition, the petitioners, who are based in District Ghaziabad, U.P., have sought the direction upon respondent Nos.2 & 3 to not harass them in any manner at the instance of the private respondents.
3. It transpires that the marriage between the private respondent No.4 and petitioner No.1 was solemnized in Chandigarh. After disruption in the matrimonial life, the respondent No.4 is stated to have returned back to her parental house in Sector 28, Chandigarh. In spite of this for inexplicable reason, she appears to have approached the Police Authorities in SAS Nagar, Mohali, which *per se* would not have any territorial jurisdiction to entertain the matter.

4. In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction upon respondent No.2 to take notice of the allegations and apprehensions set out by the petitioners and, thereafter, to act in accordance with law.

30.06.2017

Bhumika

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No