

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P.CHALY

FRIDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF APRIL 2017/8TH VAISAKHA, 1939

WP(C).No. 14379 of 2017 (V)  
-----

PETITIONER:  
-----

SURAJ V. TOM  
VAZHAYIL HOUSE, POONJAR P O, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENT:  
-----

THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY  
IDUKKI. 685 584.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER MS. A.C. VIDHYA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
28-04-2017, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 14379 of 2017 (V)  
-----

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS  
-----

EXHIBIT P1        A TRUE COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT ON THE ROUTE  
                  MUVATTUPUZHA-THEKKADY VALID TILL 22.09.2015.

EXHIBIT P2        A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE RESPONDENT DATED  
                  19.10.2016.

EXHIBIT P3        TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 17.3.2017 IN WPC  
                  NO.1786 OF 2017.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS  
-----

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

**SHAJI P.CHALY, J.**

-----  
W.P.(C) No. 14379 of 2017  
-----

Dated this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner is a stage carriage operator in respect of vehicle No. KL-35-D-7344. He has submitted an application seeking renewal of the permit which was adjourned as per Ext.P2 for want of necessary concurrence from the sister RTAs. The subject issue with respect to securing concurrence from the sister concerns for renewal of the permit was considered by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 1786/2017, rendered a judgment dated 17.03.2017, whereby, it is held that the concurrence from the sister RTAs are not required for renewal. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, RTA meeting is scheduled to 4.5.2017 and appropriate directions may be issued in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court evident from Ext.P3.

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, there will be

a direction to the respondent to consider the renewal application of the petitioner taking into account the proposition of law laid down by this Court in Ext.P3 judgment dated 17.03.2017 in W.P.(C) No. 1786/2017.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-  
**SHAJI P.CHALY**  
**JUDGE**

ds 28.04.2017