

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Review Petition No.107 of 2016.

Date of decision: 29.04.2017.

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited & Anr.Review Petitioners.

Versus

Shyam Lal and others

.....Respondents.

Coram

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice.
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.**

Whether approved for reporting?¹

**For the Review : Mr.Ranjan Sharma, Advocate.
Petitioners**

For the Respondents : None.

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge, (Oral)

This review petition is directed against the judgment dated 04.10.2016 passed by this Court whereby the writ petition filed by respondent No.1 herein was disposed of on the basis of his undertaking to serve in tribal and hard area.

2. It is not in dispute that the undertaking to be furnished by respondent No.1 was to be strictly in accordance with the terms of the order passed by this Court earlier on 05.09.2016 which reads thus:-

“Learned Counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 stated at the Bar that the respondents may consider the case of the petitioner, in case he gives an undertaking to the effect that he will serve tribal/hard area, after completing his normal

Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?

*tenure, as per the transfer policy, occupying the field. Learned Counsel for the petitioner sought four weeks' time to seek instructions. Granted.
List on 04.10.2016."*

3. Thus, respondent No.1 is bound by the aforesaid order and any undertaking given contrary or in violation to the aforesaid order would not be binding upon the review petitioners.

4. Having said so, the review petition is accordingly disposed of, leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

**(Sanjay Karol),
Acting Chief Justice.**

**29th April, 2017.
(krt)**

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan),
Judge.**