### IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

## Civil Writ Petition No. 1739 of 2017 Date of Decision 31st July, 2017

Kamla Devi ....Petitioner Versus

State of H.P. & others ....Respondents

#### Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Singh Thakur, J.

Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>

For the Respondents:

For the Petitioner: Mr. Adarsh Sharma, Advocate.

Mr.Shrawan Dogra, General with Mr.Anup Rattan and Mr.Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate Generals with Advocate Mr.J.K.Verma, Deputy

Advocate

General.

# Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

### CMP No. 6159 of 2017

Allowed and disposed of.

### CWP No. 1739 of 2017

The only relief as sought in this writ petition is a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the appeal preferred by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes

2

respondent No. 5 against the order Annexure P-2 at the earliest,

as respondent No. 4, who otherwise was not eligible and

qualified to contest election for the post of Pardhan, Gram

Panchayat Uteep, District Chamba (H.P.), is continuing as such

persuant to the interim order Annexure P-5 passed in the appeal.

In the nature of the relief sought in writ petition, the response of

the respondents is not required and rather we propose to dispose

of the writ petition with a direction to respodnent No. 2 to decide

the pending appeal in a time bound manner. Therefore, the writ

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to

decide the pending appeal at the earliest but not beyond three

months from today. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also

stands disposed of.

Dasti copy on usual terms.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)

Judge

July 31, 2017.

ms

(Vivek Singh Thakur), Judge