IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

RFA No. 582 of 2012-B alongwith other connected matters.

Date of Decision: September 22, 2017

1. RFA No. 582 of 2012 NTPC Limited Kol Dam		Appellant
Rattan Lal & another	Versus	Respondents
2. <u>RFA No. 583 of 2012</u> NTPC Limited Kol Dam		Appellant
Anju Devi & others	Versus	Respondents
3. <u>RFA No. 584 of 2012</u> NTPC Limited Kol Dam	•	Appellant
Ranjeet Kumar & anothe	Versus er	Respondents
4. RFA No. 585 of 2012 NTPC Limited Kol Dam	•	Appellant
Gopal Das & another	Versus	Respondents
5. <u>RFA No. 586 of 2012</u> NTPC Limited Kol Dam		Appellant
Chhota Ram & another	Versus	Respondents

6. RFA No. 587 of 2012

NTPC Limited Kol Dam ...Appellant

Versus

Bhag Singh & another

... Respondents

7. RFA No. 588 of 2012

NTPC Limited Kol Dam

...Appellant

Versus

Kaur Sahib & another

... Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the appellant : Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate, for the

appellant.

For the respondent : Mr. R. S. Verma, Addl. Advocate General

for respondent No. 2/State.

Sanjay Karol, ACJ. (oral)

In these appeals, so filed under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the Act), the beneficiary of the acquisition proceedings has assailed the common award dated 15.5.2012, passed by learned District Judge, Bilaspur, H.P. in Land Reference No. 8 of 2006, titled as *Sewa Dass vs. Land Acquisition*

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

3

Collector, Kol Dam, Bilaspur, H.P. & another, alongwith other

connected matters.

2. It is not in dispute that appeal arising out of

similarly situated claimants as also acquisition proceedings

stands dismissed by this Court vide judgment dated

11.01.2017, in RFA No. 580 of 2012, titled as NTPC Ltd. Kol

Dam vs. Sewa Dass & another. The present appeals are

squarely covered by the said decision. Such fact is not

disputed. As such, as jointly prayed for, the present

appeals stand disposed of, in terms of the said judgment.

Directions issued in the aforesaid decision shall mutatis

mutandis apply to the instant cases also. Pending

application(s) if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Sanjay Karol), Acting Chief Justice.

September <u>22</u>, 2017 (**PK**)