## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 209 of 2017. Decided on: 30th January, 2017.

Madhu ....Petitioner.

Versus

H.P. Technical University, Hamirpur & Ors. ... Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chander Bhusan Barowalia, Vacation Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹No.

For the petitioner : Mr. B.S. Attri & Mr. Munish

Datwalia, Advocates for the

petitioner.

For the respondents : Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate.

## Chander Bhusan Barowalia, Vacation Judge (oral).

When the case was taken up today, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondents are not considering the representation of the petitioner i.e.,

Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that in case, the respondents will consider the representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time, the present writ petition may be disposed of with directions to the petitioner within a reasonable time. Learned counsel for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

2

respondents has no objection to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time.

- 2. In view of the statements, as made hereinabove, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner i.e., **Annexure P-3**, dated 29.12.2016, as per rules. It is expected that the respondents will
- 3. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application (s), if any.

decide the representation of the petitioner within three weeks.

Copy dasti.

30th January, 2017 (raman) (Chander Bhusan Barowalia) Vacation Judge