

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 256 of 2017

Date of decision: 28.02.2017

<i>State of Himachal Pradesh & others</i>	<i>.....Petitioners</i>
<i>Versus</i>	
<i>Shri Sakin Chand</i>	<i>....Respondent</i>

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioners : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Anup Rattan, Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. J.K. Verma, Deputy Advocate General.

For the respondent: Nemo.

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice *(Oral)*

By the medium of this writ petition, the petitioners have sought quashment of the judgment and order dated 22.09.2016, passed by the Himachal Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, for short 'the Tribunal' in Original Application No. 4830 of 2016, titled as **Shri Skin Chand versus State of Himachal Pradesh & others**, hereinafter referred to as 'the impugned

¹ *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

judgment', on the grounds taken in the memo of the writ petition.

2. We wonder why the writ petitioners have questioned the impugned judgment. In terms of para-5 of the same, the writ petitioners-respondents before the Tribunal were directed to consider the case of the writ respondent. It was for the writ petitioners to examine, consider the case of the writ respondent and make a decision.

3. In view of the above, the writ petition is not maintainable. Dismissed as such, alongwith pending applications.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice.

February 28, 2017.
(hemlata)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge.