

THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH

WP-23450-2017

(RAMESHWAR PRASAD MISHRA Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

Jabalpur, Dated : 28-12-2017

Dr. Anuvad Shrivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vaibhav Tiwari, learned Government Advocate for the State.

IA No.18458/2017 and IA No.18461/2017 are taken up and allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's online application for absorption or for choice posting was entertained which is evident from Annexure P/2. Petitioner submitted choice for twenty different places, however, in resultant entry Annexure P/4, the number of choice is shown as "1" and in another entry '0' is given under the head "Number of Choice Number of Post". Dr. Shrivastava submits that the said finding Annexure P/4 runs contrary to the absorption application Annexure P/2. Petitioner intends to prefer a detailed off-line application for this grievance which may be directed to be decided by Commissioner of Public Instructions, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal.

Shri Vaibhav Tiwari has no objection.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to prefer a detailed off-line application alongwith the copy of this order to the Commissioner of Public Instructions, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal. In turn, the Commissioner is directed to decide the said application by a reasoned order in accordance with law within 15 days therefrom. The outcome shall be communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of without expressing any view on merits.

(SUJOY PAUL)

JUDGE

YS

High Court of Madhya Pradesh