

WP-10005-2017

(JAINENDRA DALAL Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

31-08-2017

Shri Anil Lala, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri GS Thakur, learned Govt. Advocate for the respondents-State.

Shri Pankaj Dubey, learned counsel for the respondents No.2.

Heard on admission.

By way of present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking the following reliefs:

â□□a) To issue suitable writ/directions to the respondent No.2 to register offences against the erring officers in the complaint made by the petitioner and investigate the matter as per law and submit its report to the Court.

b) To alternatively to issue suitable writ/ directions to the respondent No.1 to hand over the case to some independent investigating agency for investigation into the complaint of the petitioner and to take further action against the erring officials.

c) To issue any other suitable writ/ directions as the Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the petition.

d) To award costs of the petition.â□□

From bare perusal of the relief clause, it is apparent that the petitioner has not challenged any infringement or violation of his constitutional rights or any other legal rights, and has filed this petition as a public spirited person.

Since the petitioner has not shown to have any violation of his personal or other rights, therefore his petition being misconceived, cannot be entertained. In the circumstances,

admission is declined and the writ petition filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to take recourse of such remedy as is available to him under the law.

(SUBODH ABHYANKAR)
JUDGE

Ansari