

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

**A.B.A. No.2977 of 2017**

1.Chotu Khan

2.Saheb Khan @ Manwar Khan

3.Firoj Khan

4.Nashima Khatoon

...   ...   ...   ...   Petitioners

Versus

State of Jharkhand

...   ...   ...   ...   Opp. Party

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S. N. PATHAK**

For Petitioners : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For Opp. Party : A.P.P.

02/ 26.05.2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners are accused in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/323/307 of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. It is submitted that the entire allegation levelled against the petitioners regarding torture, cruelty and demand of dowry are absolutely false and baseless and as a matter of fact the petitioners have never inflicted any torture upon the informant. It is lastly submitted that the informant infuriated her and finally she out of her own will and consent left the marital house and started living with her parents at parental home. Hence, the petitioners are completely innocent and therefore, they may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Considering the fact and circumstances of the case, the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below, shall be enlarged on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M., 1st Class, Hazaribag, in connection with Giddi P.S. Case No.59 of 2016 corresponding to G.R. No.3373 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

**(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)**