

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No.8921 of 2016

Bikash Basky @ Bikash Baski. Petitioner.
-Versus-
The State of Jharkhand. Opposite Party.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner: Mr. D. C. Mishra, Advocate
For the State : A.P.P.

05/31.01.2017: Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is an accused in a case registered under Sections 307, 326 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substance Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged in the first information report. Learned counsel primarily submits that even if the allegation levelled by the informant is treated to be true, she has sustained simple injury, as would be evident from her injury report, mentioned in Paragraph No.19 of the supplementary case diary. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 21st June, 2016 and, therefore, he may be granted the privilege of regular bail.

Learned APP opposes the petitioner's prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner, above named, on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount, each, to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dumka, in connection with Sikaripara P.S. Case No.65 of 2016, corresponding to G.R. No.701 of 2016.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Sanjay/