

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 215 of 2017

Chandan Kumar Verma @ Chandan Kumar son of Raj Kishore Prasad, Resident of Makhoutganj, Dholakia Gali, P.S.- Kotwali, P.O.- GPO, District- Gaya (Bihar)Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Jharkhand

....Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RATNAKER BHENGRA

For the Petitioner:

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Tiwary, Advocate

For the State:

Mr. Vinay Kumar Tiwary, APP

2/31.01.2017: Heard both the counsels.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that no TIP was held and nothing was recovered from the possession of this petitioner and the motorcycle that has been used bearing No. JH 01 AK 2905, does not belong to the petitioner. He has also said that section 382 of the IPC is not applicable while under section 356, punishment is two years and petitioner is in custody from 29.09.2016

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the bail application and said that the case diary may be called for.

Having heard counsels for both the side; having gone through the records of the case and in the facts and circumstances of the case, I direct the court below to enlarge the petitioner, above named, on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Jamshedpur in connection with Kadma P.S. Case No. 182 of 2016 (G.R. No. 2842 of 2016) subject to the condition that both the bailors must be his close relative and petitioner will attend the trial at every date unless dispensed with by the trial court.

(Ratnaker Bhengra,J.)

Sharda/-