

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA  
AT SHILLONG**

**WP(C) No. 263 of 2017**

Shri Maje N. Sangma  
D/o Shri Pebelin G. Momin  
R/o Rongjeng Christianpara  
P.O. & P.S. Rongjeng  
District: East Garo Hills, Meghalaya

**... Petitioner**

**- Versus -**

1. The State of Meghalaya, represented by  
The Chief Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,  
Shillong.
2. The Principal Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,  
Revenue and Disaster Management Department,  
Meghalaya, Shillong
3. The Deputy Commissioner/District Collector,  
East Garo Hills District, Williamnagar
4. The Chief Engineer, PWD (Roads)  
I/c National Highway from Shillong to Tura.
5. The Executive Engineer PWD (Roads) (NH)  
East Garo Hills, Williamnagar.
6. The Regional Director,  
BSC-C+C "JV", Flat No. 302,  
Ferndale Complex, M.G. Road,  
Opposite ICB Building, Shillong-3  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
7. Shri Mantharan Sangma  
Nokma of Rongjeng Akhing Land,  
Mouza No. IV-57 (7)  
R/o Goaram Village,  
P.O. & P.S. Rongjeng,  
East Garo Hills District, Williamnagar.

**... Respondents**

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.P. VAISH**

**Present**

Mr. A.H. Hazarika, Advocate	:	Counsel for Petitioner
Ms. P. Agarwal, Advocate	:	Counsel for Respondents No. 1 to 5
Date of Hearing	:	30.10.2017
Date of Order	:	30.10.2017

## **ORDER**

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks direction to the respondents to pay the compensation in respect of her land and also to pay damages caused to her properties. The petitioner also seeks direction to the respondents to enquire into the matter and survey the affected land of the petitioner for the purpose of compensation.

2. Ms. P. Agarwal, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 5 submits that representation dated 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2014 as alleged by the petitioner was not received in the office of the respondents.

3. At this stage, Mr. A.H. Hazarika, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Williamnagar. He also seeks permission to withdraw the present petition and to file a fresh petition, in case need arises. Learned counsel for the petitioner has moved an application for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to file fresh petition, the same is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 5 has no objection to the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the application filed on behalf of the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed.

Dated: 30<sup>th</sup> October, 2017

**JUDGE**

V. Lyndem