BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.TALAPATRA 30.11.2017 Heard Mr. Somik Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA appearing for the respondents. By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from vertal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of stemuse police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work for the isasse genies from the existence resoure of a police station for touthe two the tours of the petitio	Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings With signature
Heard Mr. Somik Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA appearing for the respondents. By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from weethed disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing woluminous construction work over the leased out had property of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of stequent police station to rouge in the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of stequent police station to rouge in the least of the complaint dated 121.12.197 of the leasta				WP(C) 1526 of 2017
Heard Mr. Somik Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA appearing for the respondents. By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner inding the representation of such private construction work now on the seasing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside materiaence of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the pastic prolice station to ensure all round protection of such private construction				
petitioner as well as Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA appearing for the respondents. By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquility in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal discissure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the lessed out land property of the petitioner finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station to this belong the petitioner for the bettorn of the petitioner work has almostale to me and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work has almostale to the finding resource of a police station				30.11.2017
appearing for the respondents. By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner induces the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station is cribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 27.11.2017 of the instant petition." Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in has submitted that there wa				Heard Mr. Somik Deb, learned counsel appearing for the
By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out lang property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for rountie work its impossible from the port of a police station to rountie work its impossible for the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in has submitted that there was				petitioner as well as Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA
explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for rountie work its impossible from the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station or this backdrop, Office in charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint				appearing for the respondents.
breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for forunde the dock, O/C, R.K. Puppement from the existing resource of a police station for forunde the dock, O/C, R.K. Puppement from the existing resource of a police station for his backforp, Officer in-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backforp, Officer in-charge of the police station to ensure all round pr				By the order dated 27.11.2017, the respondents were asked to
assistance was not provided to the petitioner. The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for forund the dock. O/C, R.K. Pupplice station to resure all round protection of noutine work is impossible from the part of a police station to fround the dock. O/C, R.K. Pupplice station to resure all round protection of noutine work is impossible to mit he part of a police station on this backdrop, Officer in-charge of the police station to resure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer in-cha				explain when there was an apprehension of perceived and threat
The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station to only the considering demand of posting of not round the clock. Ofc. Rx. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station to ensure all round protection of such				breakdown of peace and tranquillity in the area, the police
the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008 by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tied to convince the petitioner almost impossible from the part of a police station to such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backforp, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition." Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the police in that				assistance was not provided to the petitioner.
by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, RK. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer in-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petitioner. Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in that				The petitioner had come into possession of the land in terms of
then was and started construction. The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, Rk. Pur police station the the petitioner almost impossible to make and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition." Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in that				the decree dated 30.09.2010 delivered in Title Suit no. 04 of 2008
The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K.Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in that				by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, South Tripura, Udaipur, as it
dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing re				then was and started construction.
petitioner came into possession. Thereafter, he made all preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. Ofc. R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition." Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				The appeals from that judgment in the superior fora were
preparations to construct a building through an agency, but when he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the dock, CR, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to resoure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station to ensure all round protection of the				dismissed and finally by virtue of the execution proceeding, the
he started the construction, the adversaries raided the place and threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, flenting the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
threatened by flaunting that they will not allow the construction to go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer in-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in that				
go on. The petitioner immediately lodged a complaint in the R.K. Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
Pur police station urging protection, but the police refused to give the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
the protection on the ground that they do not have sufficient force to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
to provide such protection. The said statement as recorded in the writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
writ petition has found its ratification in the parawise comments produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
produced before this court today by Mr. S. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
Additional GA. The parawise comments have been submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				· ·
the Superintendent of Police, Gomati. Relevant part reads as under: "On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
"On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				·
"On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied multiple nature of routine work it is impossible from the part of a police station to ensure all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				
all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on the left foot of the complaint dated 17.11.2017 of the instant petition". Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				"On perusal of the written complaint as well as from verbal disclosure of the petitioner, considering demand of posting of adequate police staff for protection of ongoing voluminous construction work over the leased out land property of the petitioner, finding the requirement of the petitioner almost impossible to implement from the existing resource of a police station for round the clock. O/C, R.K. Pur police station tried to convince the petitioner that beside maintenance of law and order, duties and allied
submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that				all round protection of such private construction work from the existing resource of a police station. On this backdrop, Officer In-charge of the police station scribed the note on
				Mr. Deb, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has
area by the adversaries who had filed the litigation or their men.				submitted that there was serious threat to law and order in that
				area by the adversaries who had filed the litigation or their men.

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings With signature
			Mr. Chakraborty, learned Additional GA has categorically
			submitted that having regard to the resource of the concerned
			police station, it will not be practicable to provide police coverage
			round the clock, around the construction or the location.
			Having appreciated the said submission, this court is of the
			view that the petitioner cannot get round the clock protection, bu
			the police must keep an active vigil so that no adversary can cause
			any damage or can give threat to the personnel who will be
			engaged for the construction work and the Officer-in-charge of R.K
			Pur police station shall review the arrangements from time to time
			in order to ensure appropriate deployment.
			This arrangement can last for a maximum period of 30 days
			but it will be subject to the review by the Officer-in-Charge of the
			police station as stated. However, the petitioner will be at liberty
			the petitioner is inclined to have round the clock security coverage
			by the police, the petitioner shall make an application to the
			Superintendent of Police, Gomati District to the effect that he would
			pay the pay and allowances for the security contingent, if posted in
			that area. In that event, the Superintendent of Police, Gomati ma
			accept the proposal considering the threat perception.
			In terms of the above, this writ petition is allowed.
			Copy of this order be furnished to the learned counse
			appearing for the parties.
			JUDGE
	Saikat		