Noting by Officer or Seri Advocate No	al Date	Office notes, reports, orders or Proceedings with signature
		Rev. Pet. No. 40 of 2017
		BEFORE
		THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. TALAPATRA
		25.09.2017
		Heard Mr. A. Bhowmik, learned counsel
		appearing for the petitioner as well as Ms. A. S. Lodh,
		learned Addl. G.A. appearing for the respondents.
		By the order dated 23.08.2017, the writ
		petition being WP(C) No. 875 of 2016 was dismissed
		inasmuch as it was contended that its averments were
		not affirmed by affidavit as required under Chapter-
		VA of the Gauhati High Court Rules, as adopted by
		this Court. When the order was passed, even the
		learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had
		acceded to the submission to that effect made by Mr.
		Lodh, learned counsel appearing for the respondents
		No. 7, 10, 11 and 20 that the writ petition was not
		supported by affidavit.
		Mr. Bhowmik, learned counsel appearing for
		the petitioner has submitted that for inadvertence, he
		could not point out at that point of time that the
		original writ petition was affirmed by affidavit, but subsequently when the writ petition was amended
		and the amended copy was filed, the learned counsel
		appearing for the respondents No. 7, 10, 11 and 20
		had asserted before this Court that the writ petition
		was not affirmed by affidavit.
		This Court has scrutinized the original
		records of the writ petition being WP(C) No. 875 of
		2016 and finds that the original writ petition is duly
		supported by affidavit and as such, is of the view that
		the observation was made for not pointing out the
		material aspects as indicated now. Therefore, this
		Court is of the view that this review petition is liable
		to be allowed and accordingly, this petition stands
		+

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No	Date		Office notes, reports, orders or Proceedings with signature
				Rev. Pet. No. 40 of 2017
			allowed	by restoring the writ petition being WP(C)
			No. 875	of 2016.
				No order as to costs.
				JUDGE
		-		