

## WP(C) No. 25/2017 Khush Narayan Pradhan Vs. State of Sikkim & Ors.

## BEFORE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, CJ.

**03. 26.07.17** Present: Mr. Passang Tshering Bhutia, Mr. Libron W. Rongong, Advocates, for the Petitioner.

Mr.J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. S.K. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocates for Respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Tashi W. Bhutia, Mr. Leonard Gurung and Mr. Nayan Nepal, Advocates for Respondent No. 5.

...

The petitioner, being one of the applicants for an allotment of a shop on terrace space measuring of 83.71 sq. ft. of the Development Area Shopping Complex, Gangtok, has come up with this petition, stating that he has made an application on 01<sup>st</sup> June 2013. The same was declined. However, subsequently the said space was allotted to the fifth respondent herein. It is further contended that the allotment of the said space in this manner is discriminatory, arbitrary and also infringes the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioner.

2. In response, the official respondents have filed counter affidavit stating that the said terrace space at Development Area Shopping Complex was allotted to the fifth respondent on  $11^{th}$  July 2016 (Annexure P-1) for a period of one year only. The term of the allotment will come to an end on  $31^{st}$  July 2017. The fifth respondent has already served a notice on  $06^{th}$  June 2017 to the effect to

vacate the premise before the date. Thereafter, the

Department proposes to use the said area for its own

purpose.

3. Mr. Tashi Wongdi Bhutia, learned counsel appearing

for the fifth respondent, concurs with the submissions

made by the official respondents and submits that the

space shall be vacated on or before the due date.

4. Having considered the aforestated factual matrix

involved in the case, it is not necessary to adjudicate the

dispute at this stage and this petition has become

infructuous.

5. Learned Addl. Advocate General submits that if the

Department decides to allot the said space to a private

person, the same shall be done in accordance with law,

considering all the applications made thereon.

6. In such view of the matter, nothing survives on

merit. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Chief Justice 26.07.2017

Internet : Yes / No

Index: Yes / No

pm/jk