IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA Criminal Miscellaneous No.424 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -35 Year- 2016 Thana -AIRPORT District- PATNA

1. Karan Kumar Manjhi, Son of Ganga Prasad Manjhi, resident of Baikathur, Police Station - Khusrupur, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajanan Mishra For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ajit Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2017

The petitioner is in custody since 04.06.2016 in connection with Hawai Adda P.S. Case No. 35 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Sections 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R and only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, his name transpired in this case. It has further been submitted that in the impugned order, it has been mentioned that huge quantity of valuable articles were recovered but the same were not stolen articles. Further neither the petitioner has been put on T.I.P nor the articles recovered from his possession and he has been in custody since 04.06.2016.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides, in view of the fact that save and except the confessional statement, there is nothing against the petitioner and also no T.I.P has been done in this case and he has been in custody since 04.06.2016, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Saurabh Singh, learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Patna in connection with Hawai Adda P.S. Case No. 35 of 2016, subject to the following conditions that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part two appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation



of his bail.

Since, the charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case, learned Court below is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude it within a period of nine months from today.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

IJ		

