

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2539 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -86 Year- 2016 Thana -MUNGER MUFFASIL District- MUNGER

=====

Rajesh Kr. Yadav, Son of Sri Maheshwar Yadav, Resident of Village-
Tikarampur Bihari Marar Tola, P.S.- Mofassil, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ashok Kumar Singh 1, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Munger
Mufassil P.S. Case No.86 of 2016 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 347, 384 and 386/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner is named in the First
Information Report with allegation that he demanded ransom of
Rs.3 lacs and after delivery of money he released the informant
after keeping him for two days in Diyara area without supplying
any food and drinking water and further snatched his cell-phone.

Submission on behalf of the petitioner is of false
implication for realization of commission, the petitioner has



worked as contractor and a cheque was issued by Osama Saifullah which was presented by the petitioner for payment of an amount of Rs.2,50,000/-and the said cheque was fully discharged in favour of the petitioner and, as such, he deserves sympathetic consideration.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer of bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the custody of the petitioner now, he is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Munger in connection with Munger Mufassil P.S. Case No.86 of 2016, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

Arvind/-

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

