

\$~31

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **BAIL APPLN. 1040/2016**  
**MUDASAR KHAN** ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr.Shahid Azad, Advocate

versus

**STATE** ..... Respondent  
Through Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State  
with S.I Rakesh Dhyani, P.S Vikas  
Puri

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA**

**ORDER**  
% **29.06.2016**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person having no connection with FIR No.227/2016 under Section 419/420/468/471/120B/34 IPC of Police Station Vikas Puri, Delhi. He further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 and the charge-sheet has already been filed. He also submits that no useful purpose would be served if the petitioner is kept in J.C and prays that he be released on bail.

On the other hand the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the petitioner may tamper with the prosecution evidence and influence the witnesses, if he is released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 and also the charge-sheet has already been filed, the petitioner is directed to be

released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Metropolitan Magistrate.

The bail application stands disposed of in the above terms.

*Dasti.*

**(I.S.MEHTA)**  
**VACATION JUDGE**

**JUNE 29, 2016/km**