

**HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No.288 of 2016 (S/B)**

Kisori Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others

...Respondents

And

**Writ Petition No.287 of 2016 (S/B)**

Subhash Chandra Notiyal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others

...Respondents

Mr. C.S. Rawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms Charanjeet Kaur, Brief Holder for the State of  
Uttarakhand/respondents.

**Dated: 31.08.2016**

**Coram: Hon'ble K.M. Joseph, C.J.**

**Hon'ble V.K. Bist, J.**

**K.M. Joseph, C.J (Oral)**

These two writ petitions have common prayers, namely, petitioners seek to call in question the order by which petitioners were attached and to restrain the respondents from relieving them.

2. Today when the matter came up, learned Brief Holder would submit that the petitioners have been placed under suspension and attached to the same place.

3. We would think that in the light of this, we need not be detained by the prayers in these writ petitions. The writ petitions are closed as infructuous. This will be without prejudice to the rights of the petitioners to challenge the order of suspension, if so advised.

**(V.K. Bist, J.)**

**(K.M. Joseph, C.J.)**

31.08.2016

Arti